

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/966,042		09/28/2001	Marja Salmimaa	04770.00022	4272
22907	7590	11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
BANNER			SAX, STEVEN PAUL		
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2174	
				DATE MAIL ED: 11/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/966,042	SALMIMAA ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven P Sax	2174
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ntion. A proper reply to a not places the application in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	••	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-44</u> .	•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	· · · · · · · ·	
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ / - /
		steven sax Primary examiner

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the added feature specifying that the proximity section is separately located from the priority section on the display, brings out a new concept which warrants further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment, though having merit over the art of record, nevertheless cannot be entered per the above. Also note that claims 33-44 are atleast addressed in the double patenting rejection, which has not been overcome due to the fact that the proposed amendment cannot be entered at this time.